

From: [McKenna, James \(Jim\)](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); voster@anchorenv.com
Cc: rjw@nwnatural.com; ricka@bes.ci.portland.or.us; [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: Re: December 13th Meeting
Date: 12/07/2005 02:28 PM

Thanks Eric, we agree that tomorrow's meeting should focus on the bigger picture issues. The LWG appreciates the significant level of effort the Agency and its partners put into this data gap analysis, and your recognition that completing the data gap sampling in 2006 will assist the LWG in keeping the project on schedule.

To that end, we would like to focus tomorrow's meeting on a) those issues for which we need further clarification; b) those that may have significant impact on the project schedule; and c) those which we feel may have legal or policy implications. Based on that discussion, we can work together to map out a path forward, including identifying the specific technical issues that will comprise the agenda for the December 13th meeting (which we envision being exclusively a technical meeting).

It is likely that we will not be able to resolve all the technical issues on December 13. Small technical subgroups, comprised of LWG and Agency reps, will likely have to be established to continue discussing these issues over the next few weeks and months. Concurrently, and if necessary, legal and policy reps from both sides will continue to discuss their respective issues.

It looks like the December 13 meeting will be in Seattle or Olympia. Please coordinate directly with Valerie to arrange a venue. Thanks, Jim.

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
To: Valerie Oster
CC: McKenna, James (Jim); rjw@nwnatural.com; ricka@bes.ci.portland.or.us; Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov
Sent: Wed Dec 07 13:43:19 2005
Subject: RE: December 13th Meeting

Valerie, thanks for the information. One of the things that we should plan on discussing tomorrow is the scope of the planned December 13th meeting and what we hope to accomplish. Regarding tomorrow, our plan is to answer general questions about the data gaps memo and discuss next steps for developing the necessary plans for completing the RI/FS. Is there anything else we should be planning for? Do you plan on developing an agenda?

Thanks, Eric

Valerie Oster	
< voster@anchoren.com >	To
	Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
12/06/2005 10:27 AM	cc
	Subject
	RE: December 13th Meeting

Hi Eric -

We're going to discuss this tomorrow at Exec, and will give you a final answer just after that meeting...but right now I think it's safe to say that having the meeting in Seattle would be a good option.

Valerie Thompson Oster
Anchor Environmental, L.L.C
6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 110
Portland, OR 97224
Phone: 503-670-1108 x19
Fax: 503-670-1128

This electronic message transmission contains information that is a confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation of litigation. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by electronic mail at voster@anchorenv.com.

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
[<mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov>]
Sent: Mon 12/5/2005 3:36 PM
To: Valerie Oster
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: December 13th Meeting

Valerie, we have a meeting scheduled for December 13th with our entire project team. We are trying to figure out a location and are considering Seattle, Portland, or somewhere in-between (e.g., Centralia). I communicated to Jim Mckenna that our first preference was Seattle. However, we are trying to juggle a number of things on our end schedule wise. Can you let me know the current status of the meeting location on your end?

Thanks, Eric